

**In addition to this summary, this report includes the following forms:**

Report Summary
Rating Criteria and Classifications
J1739 (2009)
Actions

Xfmea Report Sample – Machinery FMEA

This report was generated with ReliaSoft Xfmea by HBM Prenscia software in Microsoft Word. Similar reports can also be generated in Microsoft Excel. You can easily replace the Xfmea logo graphic with your own company logo. Within Word and Excel, reports can be edited/annotated, if necessary, and generated in PDF and/or HTML format for easy distribution.

This report includes:

- A summary of the rating criteria (Severity Scale, Occurrence Scale, Detection Scale) and classifications that were used in the analysis.
- The Machinery FMEA (MFMEA) spreadsheet report in the SAE J1739 reporting format.
- A summary list of the recommended actions identified during the analysis.

The report is based on the sample analysis provided in the SAE J1739 guidelines, on page 45.



RATING CRITERIA AND CLASSIFICATIONS

Date: 11/17/2017

Page 2 of 6

| Severity Rating Scale | | Criteria 1 | Occurrence Rating Scale | | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| # | Description | | # | Description | Criteria |
| 1 | None | No discernible effect. | 1 | Remote: Failure is unlikely | <= 0.01 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 2 | Very Minor | Fit and finish/Squeak and rattle item does not conform. Defect noticed by discriminating customers (less than 25%). | 2 | Low: Relatively few failures | 0.1 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 3 | Minor | Fit and finish/Squeak and rattle item does not conform. Defect noticed by 50% of customers. | 3 | Low: Relatively few failures | 0.5 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 4 | Very Low | Fit and finish/Squeak and rattle item does not conform. Defect noticed by most customers (greater than 75%). | 4 | Moderate: Occasional failures | 1 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 5 | Low | Vehicle/Item operable but Comfort/Convenience item(s) inoperable. Customer somewhat dissatisfied. | 5 | Moderate: Occasional failures | 2 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 6 | Moderate | Vehicle/Item operable but Comfort/Convenience item(s) inoperable. Customer dissatisfied. | 6 | Moderate: Occasional failures | 5 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 7 | High | Vehicle/Item operable but at a reduced level of performance. Customer very dissatisfied. | 7 | High: Frequent failures | 10 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 8 | Very High | Vehicle/Item inoperable (loss of primary function). | 8 | High: Frequent failures | 20 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 9 | Hazardous with warning | Very high severity ranking when a potential failure mode affects safe vehicle operation and/or involves noncompliance with government regulation with warning. | 9 | Very High: Persistent failures | 50 per thousand vehicles/items |
| 10 | Hazardous without warning | Very high severity ranking when a potential failure mode affects safe vehicle operation and/or involves noncompliance with government regulation without warning. | 10 | Very High: Persistent failures | => 100 per thousand vehicles/items |

| Detection Rating Scale | | Criteria 1 | Classification Options | |
|------------------------|-----------------|--|------------------------|----------------------------|
| # | Description | | Abbreviation | Description |
| 1 | Almost Certain | Design Control will almost certainly detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | C | Critical |
| 2 | Very High | Very High chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | KI | Key Intermediate |
| 3 | High | High chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | KLd | Key Leading |
| 4 | Moderately High | Moderately High chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | KLg | Key Lagging |
| 5 | Moderate | Moderate chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | S | Significant |
| 6 | Low | Low chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | KPC | Key Product Characteristic |
| 7 | Very Low | Very Low chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | KCC | Key Control Characteristic |
| 8 | Remote | Remote chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | | |
| 9 | Very Remote | Very Remote chance the Design Control will detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode. | | |

Non-proprietary and non-confidential information.



RATING CRITERIA AND CLASSIFICATIONS

Date: 11/17/2017

Page 3 of 6

10 Absolute Uncertainty

Design Control will not and/or cannot detect a potential cause/mechanism and subsequent failure mode; or there is no Design Control.

FAILURE MODE AND EFFECTS ANALYSIS
Vacuum Pump

Item 1.1 - Vacuum Pump
 Model Year(s)/Program(s) 201X/AllStar
 Design Responsibility Firebrakes (supplier)
 Core Team Mike Brake, John Doe, Jim Dominion (supplier)
 Support Team _____

FMEA Number MF112
 Key Date 4/14/2017
 Prepared By 5/2/2017
 FMEA Date (Orig.) 2/2/2017

| Name / Function | Potential Failure Mode | Potential Effect(s) of Failure | SEVl | Classification | Potential Cause(s)/Mechanisms of Failure | OCCI | Current Design Controls (Prevention) | Current Design Controls (Detection) | DETI | RPNi | Recommended Action(s) | Responsibility & Planned Completion Date | Action Results | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|------|----------------|---|------|---|-------------------------------------|------|------|-----------------------|---|---|-----------|---|------|------|---|----|---|
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | Actions Taken & Actual Completion Date | SEVr | OCCr | DETr | RPNr | | | |
| Vacuum Pump | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Provides vacuum to evacuate brake system. Achieve X millimeters in Y seconds as the furthest wheel from the vacuum source. | Partial or complete loss of air, water, and power supply to the weld gun. | Moderate downtime in replacing the dressing. Downtime varies from 10-30 minutes for replacement. | 4 | | Flexing, rubbing of cables, jumpers and hoses with each other during welding. | 6 | Robot dressing practices. Recommended jumper and cable lengths. Preventive maintenance. Water Savers Machinery Controls stop the process. | | | 5 | 120 | Investigate longer life cables, hoses and jumpers. (Reduces occurrence) | Jim Manufacturing, Mike Cable, Supplier | 3/30/2015 | Selected cables and hoses with longer life than originally specified. - 3/30/2015 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 48 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Investigate use of abrasion resistant protective covering for cables and hoses. (Reduces occurrence) | Joe Dressing, Supplier | 3/13/2017 | | | | | | Abrasion resistant sleeves to be used at points that are subject to high wear and tear. - 3/13/2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Split cabling for water hoses/cables to minimize length of replacement. (Reduces downtime/effects severity) | Joe Dressing, Supplier | 3/13/2017 | | | | | | Completed. - 3/13/2017 |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | Utilize infrared thermography to predict robot dressing failure. (Improves detection) | Joe Dressing, Assembly Plant 123 | 3/13/2017 | | | | | | Infrared Thermography incorporated into predictive maintenance plans. - 3/13/2017 |



ACTIONS

Date: 11/17/2017

Page 5 of 6

| Action ID | Action # | Recommended Action(s) | Responsibility | Planned Completion Date | Expected Completion Date | Actions Taken | Planned Cost | Reviewer | Actual Cost | Review Date | Review Comments | Last Updated By | Last Updated | Name | Function | Potential Failure Mode | Potential Effect(s) of Failure | Potential Cause(s)/Mechanisms of Failure | RPN i | RPN r |
|-----------|-----------|---|---|-------------------------|--------------------------|---|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---|-------|-------|
| 5 | 1.1.1.1.1 | Investigate longer life cables, hoses and jumpers. (Reduces occurrence) | Jim Manufacturing, Mike Cable, Supplier | 3/30/2015 | 3/30/2015 | Selected cables and hoses with longer life than originally specified. | 0 | | 0 | | | ReliaSoft Corporation | 7/29/2015 10:53 AM | Vacuum Pump | Provides vacuum to evacuate brake system. Achieve X millimeters in Y seconds as the furthest wheel from the vacuum source. | Partial or complete loss of air, water, and power supply to the weld gun. | Moderate downtime in replacing the dressing. Downtime varies from 10-30 minutes for replacement. | Flexing, rubbing of cables, jumpers and hoses with each other during welding. | 120 | 48 |
| 6 | 1.1.1.1.2 | Investigate use of abrasion resistant protective covering for cables and hoses. (Reduces occurrence) | Joe Dressing, Supplier | 3/13/2017 | 3/13/2017 | Abrasion resistant sleeves to be used at points that are subject to high wear and tear. | 0 | | 0 | | | HBM Prensacia | 11/17/2017 9:14 AM | Vacuum Pump | Provides vacuum to evacuate brake system. Achieve X millimeters in Y seconds as the furthest wheel from the vacuum source. | Partial or complete loss of air, water, and power supply to the weld gun. | Moderate downtime in replacing the dressing. Downtime varies from 10-30 minutes for replacement. | Flexing, rubbing of cables, jumpers and hoses with each other during welding. | 120 | 48 |
| 7 | 1.1.1.1.3 | Split cabling for water hoses/cables to minimize length of replacement. (Reduces downtime/effects severity) | Joe Dressing, Supplier | 3/13/2017 | 3/13/2017 | Completed. | 0 | | 0 | | | HBM Prensacia | 11/17/2017 9:14 AM | Vacuum Pump | Provides vacuum to evacuate brake system. Achieve X millimeters in Y seconds as the furthest wheel from the vacuum source. | Partial or complete loss of air, water, and power supply to the weld gun. | Moderate downtime in replacing the dressing. Downtime varies from 10-30 minutes for replacement. | Flexing, rubbing of cables, jumpers and hoses with each other during welding. | 120 | 48 |



ACTIONS

Date: 11/17/2017

Page 6 of 6

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---|-----|----|
| 8 | 1.1.1.1.4 | Utilize infrared thermography to predict robot dressing failure. (Improves detection) | Joe Dressing, Assembly Plant 123 | 3/13/2017 | 3/13/2017 | Infrared Thermography incorporated into predictive maintenance plans. | 0 | | 0 | | | HBM Prensncia | 11/17/2017 9:15 AM | Vacuum Pump | Provides vacuum to evacuate brake system. Achieve X millimeters in Y seconds as the furthest wheel from the vacuum source. | Partial or complete loss of air, water, and power supply to the weld gun. | Moderate downtime in replacing the dressing. Downtime varies from 10-30 minutes for replacement. | Flexing, rubbing of cables, jumpers and hoses with each other during welding. | 120 | 48 |
|---|-----------|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|---|---|--|---|--|--|---------------|--------------------|-------------|--|---|--|---|-----|----|